BRENNA BIRD ATTORNEY GENERAL



1305 E. WALNUT ST. DES MOINES, IA 50319 515-281-5164 www.iowaattorneygeneral.gov

Adena T. Friedman Chair and Chief Executive Officer Nasdaq 151 West 42nd Street New York, New York 10036

## Dear Chair Friedman:

When one member of the effective duopoly of listing companies in the United States embraces a policy that may conflict with both State and federal anti-discrimination laws, law enforcement notices. We, the Attorneys General of Iowa, Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Kansas, Idaho, Indiana, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, New Hampshire, Ohio, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Texas, Utah, Virginia, West Virginia, and Wyoming write to inquire about Nasdaq's commitment to ensuring federal and State anti-discrimination laws are followed.

In December 2020, Nasdaq <u>announced</u> a proposed rule that "would require most Nasdaq-listed companies to have, or explain why they do not have, at least two diverse directors, including one who self-identifies as female and one who self-identifies as either an underrepresented minority or LGBTQ+." <u>Many immediately</u> recognized Nasdaq's proposal as a quota for corporate boards. As part of that <u>quota</u>, "all companies will be expected to have one diverse director within two years of the SEC's approval of the listing rule." Companies listed on Nasdaq's global markets "will be expected to have two diverse directors within four years of the SEC's approval of the listing rule." Nasdaq <u>celebrated its board quota</u> as advancing its "purpose . . . to champion inclusive growth and prosperity to power stronger economies." *Id*.

Confronted with well-founded objections that its policy violated antidiscrimination laws, Nasdaq <u>reframed</u> its board quota to the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as "aspirational and not mandatory." In litigation before the Fifth Circuit, Nasdaq pivoted again, relabeling its illegal board quota as simply a "disclosure-based framework" by a private company not subject to constitutional restrictions. The case remains <u>pending</u> after the Fifth Circuit heard en banc arguments in May. A coalition of <u>19 States</u> and then <u>24 States</u> joined amicus briefs in that litigation before the Fifth Circuit panel and the en banc court opposing the proposed race- and gender-based quotas.

For more than three years, Nasdaq has defended as something other than a quota a policy that looks like a quota and acts like a quota. During that time, the Supreme Court of the United States <u>held unconstitutional</u> university race-based admissions policies and reaffirmed "the absolute equality of all citizens of the United States politically and civilly before their own laws." The Court was clear: "Eliminating racial discrimination means eliminating all of it."

Nevertheless, Nasdaq insists on continuing down the wrong path. Nasdaq's defense of its board quota revolves around its claim that constitutional and statutory discrimination prohibitions do not apply to it. Its litigation strategy raises questions about Nasdaq's commitment to following state and federal anti-discrimination laws. Given Nasdaq's zealous desire to impose quotas on companies, several of which are headquartered in our states, we are interested in learning what policies Nasdaq has in place to ensure its listed companies are following federal and State anti-discrimination laws.

Our <u>concerns</u> with the rule's legality are well documented. But we require assurances that you have in place policies that ensure State and federal anti-discrimination law are followed. Please provide us with a summary and specific documentation of Nasdaq's rules and policies requiring its listed companies to follow federal and State anti-discrimination laws and any legal analysis explaining how those laws comport with Nasdaq's purportedly aspirational quotas by October 23, 2024.

We await your response.

Sincerely,

Brenna Bird

Attorney General of Iowa

Brenn Bird



Steve Marshall Attorney General of Alabama

Jinley!

Tim Griffin Attorney General of Arkansas

Ashlow Moody

Ashley Moody Attorney General of Florida

Chris Carr Attorney General of Georgia

Raid R. Labradon

Raúl R. Labrador Attorney General of Idaho

Todd Rokita Attorney General of Indiana

Kris Kobach Attorney General of Kansas Lá Hundl

Liz Murrill Attorney General of Louisiana

Lymoraca

Lynn Fitch Attorney General of Mississippi

Andrew T. Bailey Attorney General of Missouri

Austin Knudsen Attorney General of Montana

Mike Hilgers Attorney General of Nebraska

John Formella Attorney General of New Hampshire

Dave Yost Attorney General of Ohio SIL

Gentner Drummond Attorney General of Oklahoma

alan Wilson

Alan Wilson

Attorney General of South Carolina

Ken Paxton

Attorney General of Texas

Sean D. Reyes

Attorney General of Utah

S.N.

Jason S. Miyares

Attorney General of Virginia

Patrick Morrisey

Attorney General of West Virginia

Bridget Hill

Attorney General of Wyoming

Bridget Still